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Writing Assessments
- 22,000+ KCS students have completed the TCAP writing assessment to date
- Schools completing assessments on many different platforms
- Austin-East had entire grade complete the assessment on iPads
- Assessments going well on operational side due to good preparation
- KCS infrastructure holding up well – Bearden High School completed assessments with two grades at once
- One-to-one technology schools have great advantage
- Consensus that all schools need access to one-to-one technology
- Classroom teachers being in room with students has provided calmness
- Some belief that some students are experiencing frustration because of keyboarding skill level
- State wanted trial run of an assessment being completed online to gauge how students responded
• Assessments do not count toward student grades or teacher evaluations
• Some feel students need more practice keyboarding, but consensus that should not take away from instructional time
• Some teachers who administered assessments online last year are amazed at how well students are responding to assessment online this year
• Some feel that teachers are now buying-in to online administration
• Some feel that student skills are underestimated
• Some teachers are able to provide keyboarding practice time in classroom by rotating students between small number of laptops/computers
• Need to complete assessment each semester at high school level because of block scheduling
• State has said that new state assessments may begin during October/November and February/March during 2015-2016 school year
• Some teachers feel that February is too early, only had students a few weeks at that point in semester
• State has meeting scheduled for March 2015 to announce determinations/decisions for next year
• Some teachers feel that other things can cause issues with timing as well – basketball tournaments, etc.
• Perhaps older computers could be used for keyboarding practice and shared between schools
• Technology teachers will be working to develop curriculum and content specific pedagogy incorporating keyboarding practice into lessons
• Idea for online testing is to make it a part of how KCS does business; should not be disruptive
• Having a technology expert available during testing is a necessity in case hardware fails; extra hardware also a must
• Has been difficult for students who have had to miss class time to complete assessment – some teachers have not had full class for several days
• Consensus that challenges with online assessments will likely continue until there is a computer in every student’s hands
• Keyboarding practice must be integrated into instruction, but with teacher support
• Still need significant investment to get KCS where it needs to be regarding technology; policymakers must understand the need for technology
• Consensus that KCS cannot go back; must move forward with one-to-one technology, hopefully expanding to all schools eventually
• Heard that County Commissioners who attended recent PLE showcase said experience was eye-opening
• State has completed process to replace the current TCAP assessments in English language arts (ELA) and math – new assessment will be called Tennessee Ready (TNReady)
• TNReady assessment will be administered online next year
• TCAP assessments will not be available as a back-up assessment next year
• Once more particulars are known about TNReady assessment, KCS will do school team training; will be computer enhanced items that will require students to have knowledge about highlighting, dragging/dropping, graphing, etc.
• Top things that KCS should be doing as a system to prepare for future assessments:
⇒ Make sure communication is clear about expectations for students, parents and teachers long before testing window
⇒ Acquire funding for schools that need hardware for online testing
⇒ Professional development for teachers on how to use hardware and how to incorporate technology into lessons
⇒ Make sure students are familiar with procedures; students that miss online assessments this year will not be familiar with procedures
⇒ Make practice tests available online and incorporate into summer professional development; teachers need experience prior to start of school year
⇒ Need to prepare students to read digitally – research shows that mind reacts differently when reading digitally as opposed to print; make sure online advertisements are turned off and students are able to focus – computers need to be in reader view
⇒ Need to prepare students for interaction with devices
⇒ Request that TNReady put out practice questions instead of whole practice test
⇒ May be good if students could be allowed to use graph paper as scratch paper
⇒ Make interactive practice available to teachers and students including how to annotate and highlight

• KCS received word from State that sample questions for current TCAP assessment will be released in March; KCS will share information as soon as it is available and get feedback on each question
• KCS making true effort to be transparent – will share everything when it is known

APEX
• KCS APEX redesign team that includes 22 teachers spent time delving into research and examining APEX; looked at positives and negatives
• Positives include 12.3 million dollars went to teachers; positive impact on teacher growth and leadership (rise in number of teachers in leadership roles and types of leadership roles); evidence of performance growth even though hard to make connection with APEX
• Negatives include fact that teachers do not seem to perceive APEX as impacting choices or reason to stay in high needs schools or hard to staff areas; TAP viewed much more positively as an incentive; APEX incentive accurately reflects what teachers requested when asked during development, but teachers do not see direct connection
• Team also looked at other incentive models across Tennessee that have been effective and how APEX is constructed based on previous strategic plan
• Moving forward, team focus will remain on tying incentives to newly adopted strategic plan
• Team wants to specifically look at alternative salary schedules – everything from salary caps to using different salary schedule to attract and retain strong teachers in hard to staff subjects (math, special education, etc.); exploring integrating performance, leadership, professional development experiences or other factors into salary schedule rather than exclusively education or years of experience; also how to use additional links to increase teacher conversation about teaching at high priority schools and/or choosing to teach hard to staff areas
• APEX Redesign Team will spend next session (scheduled for February 23) reviewing strategic plan and what teachers say; team will break into small groups and look at
areas where KCS has had success but needs refinement – i.e. leadership rubric, TAP model and alternative salary structures in other districts; desire to get to actual recommendations this spring

- Teachers will have opportunity to give team feedback
- Leadership rubric is complicated subject – some feel that teachers run to sign up for any leadership roles just for incentive; perhaps there could be an application process – some teachers want leadership roles even without incentive
- Some want principal discretion regarding leadership roles; allow principals to give credit for what they know teachers are doing
- If alternative salary schedules are considered, would need to do lots of preparation and careful analysis, as well as teacher engagement
- KCS wants to retain great teachers – desire to look at correlation between degrees and salary; must create buy-in and ask teachers what is fair
- Some teachers in untested areas feel that their composite TVAAS score doesn’t always reflect their individual work
- KCS could look at pooled / school level compensation rewards as a component (like TAP) – would not be all or nothing, but opportunity for each teacher to make connection between their efforts and compensation
- Some schools / districts across the country use a model where whole school can earn incentive amount and split between everyone (even classified staff); some feel group pool could be unsuccessful as well if not done well – must be based on collaboration
- Some non-teachers – i.e. guidance counselors – feel it is difficult for them to earn incentive
- Everything revolves around creating a strong team at each school, but when APEX payments are made, some schools feel the tension created by some earning incentives and some not
- APEX Redesign Team (which includes several TAC members) will continue this important work

**Instructional Coaches**

- KCS has continued to look at various instructional coaching models and how to use this limited resource in the best way
- Became clear that job embedded professional development and working collaboratively are best ways to result in professional growth
- Depending on dynamics at individual schools, coaches doing lots of different jobs; wanted to restructure to make biggest impact
- ILCs. Working one-on one with teachers and developing relationship with teachers inside classroom some of best ways to make impact
- Coaches should also be heavily devoted to supporting PLCs which are supposed to be collaborative meetings regarding student performance and reviewing what strategies work best; coaches should be observing, modeling and giving feedback
- Coaches should be working with individual teachers to help improve practice and making biggest impacts on student results
- When deciding on where to place 135 system-wide coaches, KCS wanted to prioritize how to spread them amongst 4,000+ teachers
- Coaches are heavily funded by federal dollars; initially prioritized placement by highest needs
• Significant change to move coaches out to schools this year; under principal supervision now
• Coaches are consistently deployed across district
• Also changed instructional coach professional development this year; wanted the them to work on relationships and how to work with adults
• Coaches do not evaluate teachers, but very important for them to understand evaluation rubric and how to support teachers
• Collaboration between coaches and teachers very important; KCS is trying to adjust as it is needed
• Some believe having coaches assigned to one school has made a huge difference – has built crucial relationships; having someone come from the outside caused challenges and even sometimes mistrust
• Desire for communication to be shared about coaches being under principal supervision now
• Coaches are content specific-numeracy or literacy; may not be comfortable with every subject area
• Some teachers may view coaches as administrators; support may not feel like it is coming from colleague
• Consensus that relationships with coaches are better this spring semester
• Some schools are utilizing instructional coaches in very positive ways; others may need more professional development
• Coaches are chosen by going through extremely rigorous application process; KCS does not have instructional coaches without proven track records
• Some coaches may feel torn between principal they report to and following central office procedures and expectations, something we’ll need to work to reconcile
• Helpful when principals communicate with entire school staff about what coach’s role is; faculty meetings are good avenue for this communication

Future Agenda Items
• Committee asked to share future agenda item ideas with Superintendent via e-mail

Other
• Committee members will receive reminder about schedule of who is reporting at Board of Education meetings

Next Meeting
• Next meeting scheduled for Tuesday, March 10, 2015